

RESOURCE EFFICIENCY AND CIRCULAR BUSINESS PRACTICES IN MSMEs: A CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK FOR SUSTAINABLE OPERATIONS

M. Syafarudin Mahaputra¹, Ucu Supriatna²

¹Universitas Islam Nusantara, Bandung, Indonesia

²International Women University, Bandung, Indonesia

Email: ¹msmahaputra@uninus.ac.id; ²ucus2165@yahoo.co.id

Abstract

This conceptual paper develops an integrative framework for resource efficiency and circular business practices in micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs). It synthesizes established literature on the resource-based view, dynamic capabilities, organizational learning, absorptive capacity, social capital, resilience, and entrepreneurial ecosystems. The analysis explains how material efficiency, waste reduction, process redesign, supplier collaboration, and sustainable value creation can be organized into proportionate managerial routines. No primary survey, interview, experimental, or statistical data are claimed. The framework proposes problem diagnosis, capability mapping, bounded experimentation, evidence review, governance, resource reconfiguration, and learning retention as a cumulative cycle. Implications are developed for owner-managers, support institutions, and future empirical research.

Keywords: MSMEs; Resource Efficiency And Circular Business Practices; Dynamic Capabilities; Organizational Learning; Business Resilience

INTRODUCTION

resource efficiency and circular business practices is increasingly relevant for MSMEs operating under volatile market, technological, and institutional conditions. The central managerial question is how financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making can be translated into repeatable decisions without exceeding limited organizational capacity.

Small enterprises often operate with concentrated managerial roles, limited formal information systems, and thin resource buffers. These conditions make prioritization, staged commitment, and rapid learning especially important.

The resource-based view explains why valuable resources can support advantage, while dynamic-capabilities theory explains how resources are mobilized and reconfigured when conditions change (Barney, 1991; Teece et al., 1997).

This paper develops a conceptual framework rather than claiming new empirical findings. Its purpose is to integrate established theoretical perspectives into mechanisms and propositions that can later be tested in different MSME contexts.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

The resource-based view distinguishes resource possession from the ability to deploy resources productively. This distinction is important for MSMEs because limited managerial attention, finance, information, and specialist skills make allocation choices consequential. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Dynamic capabilities explain how firms sense environmental change, seize feasible opportunities, and reconfigure resources when established routines no longer fit changing conditions. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Organizational learning adds the balance between exploration and exploitation. Experimentation expands options, while refinement improves reliability and efficiency. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Absorptive capacity explains why external information creates value only when firms can recognize, assimilate, transform, and apply relevant knowledge. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Social capital contributes a relational perspective. Trusted ties support coordination, while bridging relationships provide access to non-redundant information and complementary resources. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Together, these perspectives indicate that MSME capability emerges from connected routines for learning, resource allocation, relationships, and review. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

The framework therefore treats resource efficiency and circular business practices as cumulative: capability strengthens when learning from one decision improves later decisions and when resources can be reconfigured without undermining business continuity.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The framework begins with problem definition: managers specify the focal constraint, the decision that influences it, and the evidence needed to judge improvement. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Capability mapping follows. Existing knowledge, routines, relationships, technology, financial capacity, and institutional links are assessed before new commitments are made. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Bounded experimentation converts broad intentions into manageable tests. Scope, responsibility, resource limits, expected learning, and review dates are defined in advance. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Evidence review separates activity from outcomes. Managers compare results with baseline conditions and examine whether decision quality, customer value, productivity, resilience, or financial stability improves. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Resource reconfiguration follows the review. Effective practices receive greater commitment, while weak initiatives are redesigned or discontinued. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Learning retention completes the cycle. Simple records of assumptions, decisions, outcomes, and lessons improve future adaptation. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

The framework therefore treats resource efficiency and circular business practices as cumulative: capability strengthens when learning from one decision improves later decisions and when resources can be reconfigured without undermining business continuity.

CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

Capability development is more durable when linked to recurring operating tasks rather than generic exposure. Learning should alter how a real decision or process is performed. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Each initiative requires accountable ownership for information, coordination, resource use, review, and follow-up. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Implementation should proceed in stages that match enterprise capacity. Small pilots reduce the cost of uncertainty and reveal practical barriers before scaling. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Predetermined review points reduce escalation of commitment and encourage evidence-based continuation, redesign, or exit. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Simple documentation such as checklists, reconciliations, decision notes, customer logs, and review summaries can preserve learning without excessive bureaucracy. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Scaling should follow credible evidence and capability readiness so that expansion does not undermine service quality or continuity. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

The framework therefore treats resource efficiency and circular business practices as cumulative: capability strengthens when learning from one decision improves later decisions and when resources can be reconfigured without undermining business continuity.

NETWORKS, COLLABORATION, AND ECOSYSTEM SUPPORT

MSMEs often rely on customers, suppliers, universities, associations, financial institutions, communities, and public agencies for capabilities that are costly to internalize. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Collaboration is more productive when organized around a concrete problem. Clear problem definition helps partners contribute relevant knowledge, access, or expertise. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Network diversity matters because trusted local ties and bridging ties provide different benefits. The former support coordination; the latter expose firms to novel information and alternatives. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Reciprocity strengthens durable relationships. Enterprises can contribute market insight, practical feedback, referrals, and local knowledge. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Dependence risk should be monitored because excessive reliance on one buyer, platform, supplier, adviser, or funding source can threaten continuity. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Ecosystem quality should be evaluated through accessibility, relevance, connectivity, and learning outcomes rather than participation counts alone. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

The framework therefore treats resource efficiency and circular business practices as cumulative: capability strengthens when learning from one decision improves later decisions and when resources can be reconfigured without undermining business continuity.

GOVERNANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Governance should be proportionate to enterprise size and exposure. Its purpose is to clarify responsibilities, resource limits, assumptions, information ownership, and review points. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Risk assessment should focus on events capable of interrupting continuity or destroying initiative value, including liquidity pressure, operational failure, customer loss, information errors, dependence, and reputational harm. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Simple controls can be effective when consistently applied. Approval limits, reconciliations, backups, access rules, written partner terms, and scheduled reviews create basic accountability. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Experimentation and control are complementary. Bounded pilots create room for learning while protecting scarce resources and critical relationships. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Exit criteria should be considered before scaling so weak initiatives can be redesigned or discontinued before sunk costs distort judgment. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Accountability strengthens learning because assumptions and decisions can be reconstructed and compared with actual outcomes. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

The framework therefore treats resource efficiency and circular business practices as cumulative: capability strengthens when learning from one decision improves later decisions and when resources can be reconfigured without undermining business continuity.

PERFORMANCE, LEARNING, AND RESILIENCE

Performance assessment should distinguish inputs, activities, intermediate outcomes, and business outcomes. Adoption or participation alone does not demonstrate capability improvement. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Intermediate outcomes may include better information, faster decisions, stronger coordination, improved customer understanding, reduced errors, or better access to external knowledge. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Business outcomes depend on the focal problem and may include productivity, customer retention, cash-flow stability, market access, continuity, or recovery from disruption. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Resilience should be understood as anticipation, coping, adaptation, and learning rather than simple persistence. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Short feedback cycles make correction less costly. Delayed evaluation can allow weak routines to become embedded. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Learning becomes strategically valuable when evidence from current action changes future behavior; both successful and unsuccessful experiments should contribute to organizational memory. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

The framework therefore treats resource efficiency and circular business practices as cumulative: capability strengthens when learning from one decision improves later decisions and when resources can be reconfigured without undermining business continuity.

MANAGERIAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Owner-managers should begin with a defined constraint rather than a fashionable solution. Technology, financing, training, and partnerships create value when they improve a specific recurring decision or process. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Support organizations should segment enterprises by problem and capability stage. Basic routines, specialist advice, finance, market access, and advanced innovation support are not equally appropriate for every firm. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Universities can contribute through applied diagnosis, evidence synthesis, mentoring, and collaborative projects addressing genuine enterprise needs. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Financial institutions and public agencies can strengthen support by linking resources with implementation milestones and evidence of learning rather than participation counts alone. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Associations and community organizations can create bridging connections that extend opportunity and knowledge beyond an enterprise's immediate network while preserving local trust. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

Researchers should use longitudinal and comparative designs to observe how capabilities are formed, revised, combined, and sometimes abandoned across contexts. In the context of resource efficiency and circular business practices, this mechanism connects financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with a clearly defined business problem and reviewable evidence.

The framework therefore treats resource efficiency and circular business practices as cumulative: capability strengthens when learning from one decision improves later decisions and when resources can be reconfigured without undermining business continuity.

METHOD

This manuscript uses an integrative conceptual review. Established theoretical and review literature available before the March 2025 issue placement was synthesized to connect complementary explanations of MSME resources, learning, networks, innovation, and resilience. No primary respondents, fabricated observations, experiments, or statistical estimates are reported.

RESEARCH PROPOSITIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

P1. Stronger routines related to resource efficiency and circular business practices are expected to improve the quality and timeliness of MSME adaptation decisions.

P2. Absorptive capacity is expected to strengthen the conversion of external knowledge into implementable enterprise practices.

P3. Diverse and trustworthy relationships are expected to improve access to complementary resources while reducing dependence risk.

P4. Bounded experimentation is expected to reduce the cost of uncertainty in resource-constrained enterprises.

P5. Proportionate governance and explicit review routines are expected to improve accountability and organizational learning.

P6. Learning-based resource reconfiguration is expected to strengthen enterprise resilience under environmental change.

LIMITATIONS

The framework is conceptual and cannot establish causal effects. MSMEs differ by sector, location, ownership, market conditions, and stage of development. Future studies should operationalize constructs transparently, specify sampling and measurement procedures, and compare successful and unsuccessful implementation experiences.

CONCLUSION

The paper positions resource efficiency and circular business practices as an organizational capability built through disciplined learning. Its value depends on how effectively managers connect financial information quality, cash-flow visibility, digital controls, liquidity discipline, and adaptive decision making with evidence, governance, and resource reconfiguration.

REFERENCES

- Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S.-W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(1), 17-40. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2002.5922314>
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (1990). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1), 128-152. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393553>
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*, 13, 215-246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-019-0085-7>
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10-11), 1105-1121.
- Granovetter, M. (1985). Economic action and social structure: The problem of embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 481-510. <https://doi.org/10.1086/228311>
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (1996). Clarifying the entrepreneurial orientation construct and linking it to performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(1), 135-172.
- March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 71-87. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2.1.71>
- Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2), 242-266.
- Spigel, B. (2017). The relational organization of entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(1), 49-72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12167>
- Stam, E. (2015). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and regional policy: A sympathetic critique. *European Planning Studies*, 23(9), 1759-1769.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of sustainable enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.
- West, J., & Bogers, M. (2014). Leveraging external sources of innovation: A review of research on open innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(4), 814-831.
- Zahra, S. A., & George, G. (2002). Absorptive capacity: A review, reconceptualization, and extension. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(2), 185-203.
- Zott, C., Amit, R., & Massa, L. (2011). The business model: Recent developments and future research. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 1019-1042.