JESOCIN

OMNICHANNEL SERVICE RECOVERY IN RESOURCE-CONSTRAINED FIRMS: INTEGRATING CUSTOMER VOICE, EMPLOYEE DISCRETION, AND ORGANIZATIONAL LEARNING

Nyoman Dwika Ayu Amrita[1], Ucu Supriatna[2]
1Universitas Ngurah Rai, Denpasar, Indonesia
2International Women University, Bandung, Indonesia
Email: [1]dwika.ayu@unr.ac.id; [2]ucu.supriatna@iwu.ac.id

Abstract

This conceptual and integrative review develops a framework for omnichannel service recovery in micro, small, and medium enterprises. It addresses the problem that customers increasingly move between physical and digital channels, yet small firms often lack connected records, consistent authority, and learning routines for resolving failures across channel boundaries. Drawing on the resource-based view, dynamic capabilities, organizational learning, absorptive capacity, social capital, and resilience, the paper explains how cross-channel listening, shared case visibility, bounded employee discretion, fair recovery design, service-failure learning can be organized as mutually reinforcing routines. The proposed pathway moves from problem diagnosis and capability mapping to bounded experimentation, evidence review, resource reconfiguration, and learning retention. No primary survey, interview, experimental, administrative, or statistical data are claimed. The framework links these mechanisms to response consistency, perceived fairness, customer trust, retention, service resilience and identifies managerial, institutional, and research implications suitable for resource-constrained enterprises.

Keywords: omnichannel service; service recovery; customer voice; employee discretion; organizational learning

INTRODUCTION

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. With respect to problem definition, the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. This becomes operationally important in problem definition, where service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. Analysis of problem definition further indicates that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. Service leaders should therefore recognize that external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. With respect to theoretical explanation, the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. This becomes operationally important in theoretical explanation, where external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally.

Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. Analysis of theoretical explanation further indicates that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. Service leaders should therefore recognize that service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson.

Channel integration creates value only if a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure. In examining theoretical explanation, bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. The resulting managerial lesson is that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery. With respect to theoretical explanation, response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal. Analysis of theoretical explanation further indicates that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. Service leaders should therefore recognize that response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision.

Channel integration creates value only if a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure. In examining theoretical explanation, fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor. The resulting managerial lesson is that the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. With respect to theoretical explanation, customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure.

CONCEPTUAL ARCHITECTURE

A customer-journey perspective reveals that perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand. This becomes operationally important in conceptual design, where external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal. Analysis of conceptual design further indicates that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. Service leaders should therefore recognize that perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand.

Channel integration creates value only if external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. In examining conceptual design, bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. The resulting managerial lesson is that the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. With respect to conceptual design, response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. This becomes operationally important in conceptual design, where retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work.

Channel integration creates value only if external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. In examining conceptual design, service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. The resulting managerial lesson is that external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery. With respect to conceptual design, retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. This becomes operationally important in conceptual design, where external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Analysis of conceptual design further indicates that cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery. Service leaders should therefore recognize that retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed.

Channel integration creates value only if external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. In examining conceptual design, service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. The resulting managerial lesson is that external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally.

CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Analysis of capability formation further indicates that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. Service leaders should therefore recognize that retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed.

Channel integration creates value only if proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. In examining capability formation, bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. The resulting managerial lesson is that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. With respect to capability formation, response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. This becomes operationally important in capability formation, where retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. Analysis of capability formation further indicates that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. Service leaders should therefore recognize that service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. With respect to capability formation, the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor. This becomes operationally important in capability formation, where a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. Analysis of capability formation further indicates that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Service leaders should therefore recognize that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy.

Channel integration creates value only if proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. In examining capability formation, response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. The resulting managerial lesson is that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. With respect to capability formation, the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor.

IMPLEMENTATION PATHWAY

Channel integration creates value only if resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. In examining implementation sequencing, bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. The resulting managerial lesson is that a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure. With respect to implementation sequencing, response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery. This becomes operationally important in implementation sequencing, where retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor. Analysis of implementation sequencing further indicates that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. Service leaders should therefore recognize that perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand.

Channel integration creates value only if perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand. In examining implementation sequencing, short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. The resulting managerial lesson is that the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. This becomes operationally important in implementation sequencing, where short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand. Analysis of implementation sequencing further indicates that bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. Service leaders should therefore recognize that short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier.

Channel integration creates value only if resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. In examining implementation sequencing, perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand. The resulting managerial lesson is that bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. With respect to implementation sequencing, resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. This becomes operationally important in implementation sequencing, where short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation.

GOVERNANCE AND RISK

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. With respect to governance safeguards, response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. This becomes operationally important in governance safeguards, where retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. Analysis of governance safeguards further indicates that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. Service leaders should therefore recognize that retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed.

Channel integration creates value only if response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. In examining governance safeguards, short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. The resulting managerial lesson is that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. With respect to governance safeguards, the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Analysis of governance safeguards further indicates that fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor. Service leaders should therefore recognize that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices.

Channel integration creates value only if the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal. In examining governance safeguards, customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. The resulting managerial lesson is that response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure. With respect to governance safeguards, proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. This becomes operationally important in governance safeguards, where ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Analysis of governance safeguards further indicates that fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor. Service leaders should therefore recognize that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices.

PERFORMANCE AND LEARNING

A customer-journey perspective reveals that short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. This becomes operationally important in performance interpretation, where retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Analysis of performance interpretation further indicates that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. Service leaders should therefore recognize that resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation.

Channel integration creates value only if service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. In examining performance interpretation, short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. The resulting managerial lesson is that a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. With respect to performance interpretation, the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. This becomes operationally important in performance interpretation, where service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor.

Channel integration creates value only if retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. In examining performance interpretation, retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. The resulting managerial lesson is that retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. With respect to performance interpretation, external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery. This becomes operationally important in performance interpretation, where cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. Analysis of performance interpretation further indicates that service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. Service leaders should therefore recognize that service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson.

Channel integration creates value only if retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. In examining performance interpretation, retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. The resulting managerial lesson is that retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed.

MANAGERIAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: cross-channel listening establishes a disciplined starting point for omnichannel service recovery. Analysis of managerial and institutional implications further indicates that proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. Service leaders should therefore recognize that external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally.

Channel integration creates value only if fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor. In examining managerial and institutional implications, short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. The resulting managerial lesson is that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand. With respect to managerial and institutional implications, the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that the pursuit of service resilience develops through repeated sensing, bounded action, evaluation, and renewal. This becomes operationally important in managerial and institutional implications, where service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: external partners add value only when their knowledge is interpreted and adapted locally. Analysis of managerial and institutional implications further indicates that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. Service leaders should therefore recognize that retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. With respect to managerial and institutional implications, a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that ethical responsibility remains with identifiable people even when technology or partners support the work. This becomes operationally important in managerial and institutional implications, where the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: fair recovery design reduces dependence on a single decision path or organizational actor. Analysis of managerial and institutional implications further indicates that response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. Service leaders should therefore recognize that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions.

RESEARCH AGENDA AND CONCLUSION

Channel integration creates value only if bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. In examining future research and conclusion, short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. The resulting managerial lesson is that the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices.

Service recovery crosses channel boundaries when response consistency depends on information that is relevant to the focal decision. With respect to future research and conclusion, the use of shared case visibility converts broad intentions into observable operating choices. Customers are more likely to perceive fairness when service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that retention improves when alternatives are compared before resources are committed. This becomes operationally important in future research and conclusion, where service-failure learning allows experience to alter later routines instead of remaining an isolated lesson. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: proportionate documentation helps small organizations learn without reproducing large-firm bureaucracy. Analysis of future research and conclusion further indicates that customer trust is strengthened when affected parties can question assumptions and explain exceptions. Service leaders should therefore recognize that resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation.

Channel integration creates value only if short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. In examining future research and conclusion, resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. The resulting managerial lesson is that a predetermined stop condition protects continuity when an initiative no longer justifies its exposure.

A customer-journey perspective reveals that short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier. This becomes operationally important in future research and conclusion, where bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. Consistent recovery consequently depends on the principle that perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand.

Recovery quality is shaped before a complaint is closed: bounded employee discretion connects specialist knowledge with accountable managerial judgment. Analysis of future research and conclusion further indicates that perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand. Service leaders should therefore recognize that resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation.

Channel integration creates value only if perceived fairness requires responsibilities and review intervals that employees understand. In examining future research and conclusion, resource scarcity makes selective experimentation more credible than organization-wide transformation. The resulting managerial lesson is that short feedback cycles make correction less costly and reveal weak assumptions earlier.

REFERENCES

Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S.-W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. Academy of Management Review, 27(1), 17-40.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99-120.

Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology. Harvard Business School Press.

Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (1990). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. Administrative Science Quarterly, 35(1), 128-152.

Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. Business Research, 13, 215-246.

Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 57, 101994.

Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? Strategic Management Journal, 21(10-11), 1105-1121.

Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The circular economy: A new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 143, 757-768.

March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. Organization Science, 2(1), 71-87.

Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. Academy of Management Review, 23(2), 242-266.

Nambisan, S. (2017). Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 41(6), 1029-1055.

OECD. (2021). The Digital Transformation of SMEs. OECD Publishing.

Sarker, S., Chatterjee, S., Xiao, X., & Elbanna, A. (2019). The sociotechnical axis of cohesion for the IS discipline. MIS Quarterly, 43(3), 695-719.

Spigel, B. (2017). The relational organization of entrepreneurial ecosystems. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 41(1), 49-72.

Stam, E. (2015). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and regional policy: A sympathetic critique. European Planning Studies, 23(9), 1759-1769.

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of sustainable enterprise performance. Strategic Management Journal, 28(13), 1319-1350.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), 509-533.

Verhoef, P. C., et al. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. Journal of Business Research, 122, 889-901.

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(2), 118-144.

West, J., & Bogers, M. (2014). Leveraging external sources of innovation: A review of research on open innovation. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 31(4), 814-831.